

# Cloud Migration Pack

Discovery questionnaire — score each item honestly; low scores are the point: they show where the program will hurt.

---

|                        |                                               |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| <b>Pack</b>            | Cloud Migration Pack                          |
| <b>Document</b>        | Discovery questionnaire (24 questions)        |
| <b>Response scales</b> | Maturity 1–5 · Yes / Partially / No · Written |
| <b>Date</b>            | 2026-09                                       |

AnovaCloud — Engineering the AI-Native Enterprise. Frisco, Texas. This pack is a working instrument, not a brochure: complete it with your team, score honestly, and bring it to your discovery call. © 2026 AnovaCloud.

## HOW TO USE

### **Run this like a workshop, not a survey**

---

Complete it with the stakeholders named in each section's intro. One scribe, one room (or call), 90 minutes. Score from evidence — monitoring data, documents, demos — not opinions. Any item scoring 1–2 is a program risk: it needs an owner and a close date before you commit budget. Bring the scored questionnaire to your discovery call; it compresses weeks of fact-finding into one session.

**1 · PORTFOLIO & 6R DISPOSITION****Portfolio & 6R disposition**

Every application gets a disposition. 'TBD' is not one.

**Q1. Is there a complete application inventory with owner, tech stack, and dependencies?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: the inventory itself.

**Q2. Has each application received a 6R disposition (rehost/replatform/repurchase/refactor/retain/retire) with rationale?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: disposition register with reasons.

**Q3. Which applications are retire/retain candidates being carried 'just in case'?**

|  |
|--|
|  |
|  |
|  |

Evidence: list with business justification or challenge.

**Q4. Are SaaS-replaceable applications identified (repurchase) instead of being migrated as-is?**

|                          |     |                          |           |                          |    |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | Partially | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|

Evidence: SaaS evaluation notes.

## 2 · LANDING ZONE READINESS

### Landing zone readiness

The foundation decides the future.

**Q5. Is the landing zone built (accounts/subscriptions, network, IAM, guardrails) before wave 1?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: landing-zone review sign-off.

**Q6. Are security baselines (encryption, logging, vulnerability management) enforced by policy, not checklist?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: policy-as-code + compliance attestation.

**Q7. Is connectivity (Direct Connect/ExpressRoute/VPN, DNS, hybrid) production-ready and tested?**

|                          |     |                          |           |                          |    |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | Partially | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|

Evidence: connectivity test results.

**Q8. Are shared services (CI/CD, monitoring, backup, ITSM integration) operational?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: shared-services readiness list.

### 3 · APPLICATION DEPENDENCIES

## Application dependencies

Dependencies are the schedule.

**Q9. Is there a dependency map showing app-to-app, app-to-data, and app-to-infra edges?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: the map, with last-update date.

**Q10. Are hard dependencies (shared databases, synchronous calls, batch chains) identified per wave?**

|                          |     |                          |           |                          |    |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | Partially | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|

Evidence: per-wave dependency notes.

**Q11. Can applications be cut over independently, or are there atomic groups that must move together?**

|              |
|--------------|
| <br><br><br> |
|--------------|

Evidence: atomic-group list.

**Q12. Are third-party/vendor dependencies (support contracts, IP allowlists) cleared for cloud?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: vendor clearance tracker.

**4 · DATA MIGRATION****Data migration**

Data is the long pole.

**Q13. What is the total data volume, and what are the transfer constraints (bandwidth, change rate)?**

---

---

---

Evidence: volume + network math.

**Q14. Is the data-migration method chosen per dataset (online replication vs. offline transfer vs. physical shipment)?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: per-dataset method table.

**Q15. Are data-residency, encryption, and classification requirements mapped to the target?**

|                          |     |                          |           |                          |    |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | Partially | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|

Evidence: compliance mapping.

**Q16. Is the cutover data-sync lag budget defined and achievable?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: lag measurements from pilot.

**5 · CUTOVER & HYPERCARE****Cutover & hypercare**

Cutover day is a performance; rehearse it.

**Q17. Are downtime budgets signed per application tier?**

|                          |     |                          |           |                          |    |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | Partially | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|

Evidence: signed windows.

**Q18. Is there a rehearsed cutover runbook per wave (sequence, owners, timings)?**

|                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Evidence: runbook + rehearsal timings.

**Q19. Is rollback defined, rehearsed, and time-boxed per wave?**

|                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Evidence: rollback drill records.

**Q20. Is hypercare staffed (who watches, for how long, with what escalation)?**

|                          |     |                          |           |                          |    |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | Partially | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|

Evidence: hypercare roster + runbook.

## 6 · ECONOMICS & GOVERNANCE

### Economics & governance

---

Migrations fail on economics more often than technology.

**Q21. Is there a wave-by-wave business case (migration cost vs. run-rate delta vs. datacenter exit savings)?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: the model, reviewed with finance.

**Q22. Is cloud spend governed from day one (budgets, tagging, anomaly alerts)?**

|                          |     |                          |           |                          |    |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | Partially | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|

Evidence: FinOps controls live in the landing zone.

**Q23. Who can approve scope/disposition changes mid-program?**

---

---

---

Evidence: governance/RACI.

**Q24. Is datacenter decommission planned and funded (not 'after the migration')?**

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

Maturity 1-5 (1=Ad hoc, 2=Repeatable, 3=Defined, 4=Managed, 5=Optimized)

Evidence: decommission plan with dates and budget.

## SCORING

### **Read the pattern, not just the average**

---

Average each section. Sections averaging below 3 are your critical path — sequence the project plan so those risks close first. A single 1 on a load-bearing question (downtime budget, rollback, access control) outweighs a 4 average elsewhere. Record owners and close dates below.

**Lowest-scoring section:**

---

**Single biggest risk:**

---

**Owner:**

---

**Close date:**

---